

DARRELL HARPER §  
v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:16cv508  
DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID §

been satisfied. Harper did not show and court records do not reflect that he had satisfied the sanctions. Although Harper challenged the validity of the sanctions, the Magistrate Judge observed that the district court lacked authority to set aside sanctions imposed by the Fifth Circuit.

A copy of this order was sent to Harper at his last known address, return receipt requested, but no objections have been received; accordingly, Harper is barred from *de novo* review by the District Judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. *Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243 (1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law.") It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 4) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the above-styled application for the writ of habeas corpus is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. It is further

**ORDERED** that the Petitioner Darrell Harper is **DENIED** a certificate of appealability *sua sponte*. Finally, it is

**ORDERED** that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **24** day of **June, 2017**.



---

Ron Clark, United States District Judge